Coronary artery culprit lesions progression and ambient temperature exposure – personalised analysis

Tomasz Kamil Urbanowicz¹, Krzysztof Skotak², Michał Lesiak³, Anna Olasińska-Wiśniewska¹, Krzysztof J. Filipiak⁴, Jakub Bratkowski², Krystian Szczepański², Kajetan Grodecki⁵, Andrzej Tykarski⁶, Marek Jemielity¹

¹Cardiac Surgery and Transplantology Department, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

²Institute of Environmental Protection – National Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland

³1st Cardiology Department, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

⁴Institute of Clinical Science, Maria Sklodowska-Curie Medical Academy, Warsaw, Poland

⁵Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

⁶Department of Hypertensiology, Angiology, and Internal Medicine, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

Adv Interv Cardiol 2024; 20, 2 (76): 139–147 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aic.2024.139815

Abstract

Introduction: Global warming is claimed to be an important cardiovascular disease risk factor. The air pollution and ambient temperatures are believed to have a significant influence on increased morbidity and premature deaths.

Aim: To point out possible causative factors for coronary angiography progression in patients presenting with chronic coronary syndrome.

Material and methods: There were 66 patients (41 [62%] men and 25 [38%] women) with a median age of 71.5 (62–76) years, who underwent repeated coronary angiographies due to chronic coronary syndrome within a median time interval of 145 (96–296) days. In 18 (27%) patients coronary artery lesion progression was observed despite optimal pharmacotherapy. The demographical, clinical, and personalised epidemiological factors including air pollution particles and ambient temperature exposure were taken into account in the analysis.

Results: In the multivariate logistic regression model with backward stepwise elimination method, tropical nights (p = 0.047) and mean daily temperatures (p = 0.043) were revealed as predictors of coronary lesion progression > 30%. The analysis of seasonal temperature changes showed significant differences related to minimal winter temperatures between both groups (p = 0.018).

Conclusions: Coronary artery lesion progression can be related to either high values of daily temperatures or to low ambient temperature. The dichotomous characteristics of temperature exposure to atherosclerosis progression suggest a detrimental role of environmental extremities on human health.

Key words: coronary artery disease, cold, extreme temperatures, coronary artery, tropical nights, winter temperature.

Summary

Non-traditional coronary disease progression risk factors have recently gained more attention because traditional risk factors are well documented and treated. The climate characteristics presented by ambient temperature exposure were examined in retrospective analysis. Night heat, called tropical nights, and mean daily temperatures were found to be significant for coronary artery disease progression in patients on optimal pharmacotherapy. Winter mean ambient temperatures were significantly different in patients in whom coronary artery disease progression was noted.

Introduction

Global warming is claimed to be an important cardiovascular disease risk factor, especially in a rapidly aging population [1]. There is growing evidence for heat effects on increased cardiovascular mortality [2–4]. Exposure to high temperatures can be regarded as caus-

Corresponding author:

Dr. Tomasz Kamil Urbanowicz, Cardiac Surgery and Transplantology Department, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland, phone: +48-61-854-9233, tomasz.urbanowicz@skpp.edu.pl

Received: 17.03.2024, accepted: 2.04.2024, online publication: 22.05.2024.

ative factors of ischaemic heart disease, stroke, heart failure, and arrhythmia as the body's response to heat include dehydration, hypercoagulability, and systemic inflammatory response [5]. In experimental studies, exposure to a high-temperature environment impairs cardiac health [6]. According to analysis by Fujimoto et al. [7], even short-term exposure to increased temperatures can trigger increased cardiovascular morbidity, presenting as acute syndromes requiring emergency hospitalisation. Patients over 65 years old exhibit low physiological tolerance to heat that is partially connected with age-related decline of the human body's thermo-regulatory mechanisms [8, 9]. Nielsen et al. [10] in their analysis presented increased mortality in influenza patients related to cold winters and hot summer periods. Increased risk of premature death related to non-optimal temperature such as heat and cold exposure episodes were presented in the analysis by Burkart et al. [11].

Aim

The aim of the study was to point out possible implications of individually calculated environmental exposure, including air pollution and ambient temperature, for coronary angiography progression in patients presenting with chronic coronary syndrome.

Material and methods

Patients and method

There were 66 patients (41 [62%] men and 25 [38%] women) with a median age of 71.5 (62–76) years, who underwent repeated coronary angiographies due to chronic coronary syndrome within a median time interval of 145 (96–296) days. All the patients were treated according to contemporary chronic coronary syndromes guidelines, which included antiplatelets, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, β -blockers, and statins or statin/ezetimibe combination.

The temperature exposure was calculated individually for each of the patients and presented as median daily minimal and maximal values followed by days with median temperature exceeding 25 and 30°C. The tropical nights were estimated as the number of days with minimal temperature above 20°C were calculated for each patient individually.

Temperature method

Estimation of climate temperature parameter exposures (inc. mean daily winter temperatures and number of tropical nights – days with minimum temperature > 20°C) was based on data from international climate downscaling initiative EURO-CORDEX models [12] providing high-resolution climate projections within 12.5 km for Europe [13, 14]. For the final analysis we use Representative Concentrations Pathways emission sce-

narios – RCP4.5 [15] described by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in the Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) [16].

In our simulation, ERA-interim re-analysis [17] and daily temperature from European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D) [18] were used for boundary conditions. For data calibration, observations from the Polish Institute of Meteorology and Water Management were assimilated [19].

The high-resolution temperature calculated data we used in this study are widely available from the Institute of Environmental Protection – National Research Institute in Poland (IEP-NRI) web page [20].

Statistical analysis

Because the data did not follow normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test), parameters were presented as medians and interquartile ranges (Q_1-Q_3). The categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages. The comparison between groups was performed by Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn's tests. When the comparison considered categorical data, the χ^2 test of independence was used.

Two sample Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney) tests were performed to present the differences between measured parameters including laboratory and clinical results. Results were presented as odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). A logistic regression was performed to find factors that predict progression > 30% of coronary lesions. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to find the parameters with prognostic properties for coronary artery disease progression.

Statistical analysis was performed with the use of MedCalc[®] Statistical Software version 20.027 (MedCalc Software Ltd, Ostend, Belgium; https://www.medcalc. org; 2022). All tests were considered significant at p < 0.05.

Results

In 66 patients (41 [62%] men and 25 [38%] women) with a median age of 71.5 (62–76) years who underwent repeated coronary angiographies were enrolled into the retrospective analysis. The median interval time between repeated angiographies was 145 (96–296) days due to chronic coronary syndrome presented as angina equivalent. None of the patients was professionally active as pensioners. In a telephone questionnaire, they did not report any significant travel episodes that would be significant for the performed analysis. None of them reported air-conditioning modulators in their living place.

The arterial hypertension was diagnosed in 46 (70%) patients followed by hypercholesterolaemia in 45 (68%), and diabetes mellitus in 23 (35%). The mean body mass index was 28.8 (25.7–34.1). Patients were divided into two groups related to culprit lesion progression above

| Parameter | Group1 | Group 2 | <i>P</i> -value |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | n = 48 | n = 18 | |
| Sex (male (%)/female (%)) | 26 (54)/22 (46) | 15 (83)/3 (1/) | 0.032^ |
| Age [years] median (QI–Q3) | /3 (61-//) | 68 (64-76) | 0.762 |
| BMI median (QI–Q3)) | 28 (25–34) | 30 (27-32) | 0.554 |
| Co-morbidities, n (%): | | | |
| Arterial hypertension | 31 (65) | 14 (78) | 0.937 |
| Hyperlipidaemia | 27 (56) | 18 (100) | 0.490 |
| DM | 15 (31) | 8 (44) | 0.453 |
| Kidney dysfunction | 5 (10) | 1 (6) | 0.496 |
| Thyroid | 4 (8) | 2 (11) | 0.820 |
| Atrial fibrillation | 5 (10) | 1 (6) | 0.496 |
| Current smoking | 4 (8) | 1 (6) | 0.657 |
| COPD | 1 (2) | 1 (6) | 0.526 |
| PAD | 5 (10) | 3 (17) | 0.584 |
| Stroke | 3 (6) | 0 (0) | 0.556 |
| Laboratory results: | | | |
| Initial hospitalisation: | | | |
| WBC [× 10 ⁹ /dl] (median (Q1–Q3) | 7.2 (6.0–7.9) | 7.1 (5.6–8.4) | 0.909 |
| Hb [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3) | 8.7 (8.4–9.1) | 9.1 (8.4–9.4) | 0.456 |
| Plt [× 10 ⁹ /dl] (median (Q1–Q3) | 193 (177–248) | 209 (192–236) | 0.434 |
| Total cholesterol [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 3.5 (3.0 4.4) | 3.7 (3.1–4.1) | 0.924 |
| LDL cholesterol [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 2.1 (1.6–2.7) | 2.0 (1.7–2.5) | 0.323 |
| HDL cholesterol [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 1.2 (1.0–1.4) | 1.1 (0.9–1.2) | 0.943 |
| Triglycerides [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 1.4 (1.0–1.8) | 1.4 (1.3–1.7) | 0.420 |
| Serum creatinine [µmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 88 (75–109) | 93 (88–112) | 0.516 |
| GFR (median (Q1–Q3)) | 72 (59–89) | 66 (66–78) | 0.922 |
| Hb _{1Ac} (%) (median (Q1–Q3)) | 7.1 (6.9–7.3) | 7.2 (7.0–7.3) | 0.744 |
| Ureic acid [µmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 411 (319–473) | 346 (289–458) | 0.452 |
| Repeated hospitalisation: | | | |
| WBC [× 10 ⁹ /dl] (median (Q1–Q3) | 7.2 (5.9–8.1) | 6.9 (5.7–8.2) | 0.924 |
| Hb [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3) | 8.7 (8.4–9.5) | 8.5 (8.5–8.9) | 0.458 |
| Plt [× 10 ⁹ /dl] (median (Q1–Q3) | 217 (196–247) | 237 (207–267) | 0.459 |
| Total cholesterol [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 3.0 (2.6–3.4) | 3.1 (2.8–3.7) | 0.258 |
| LDL cholesterol [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 2.0 (1.5–2.3) | 2.0 (1.4–2.4) | 0.987 |
| HDL cholesterol [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 1.1 (1.0–1.4) | 1.1 (0.9 –1.2) | 0.282 |
| Triglycerides [mmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 1.4 (1.0–1.8) | 1.4 (1.3–1.7) | 0.379 |
| Serum creatinine [µmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 88 (75–102) | 81 (72–90) | 0.253 |
| GFR (median (Q1–Q3)) | 74 (68–83) | 76 (65–90) | 0.698 |
| Hb _{1Ac} (%) (median (Q1–Q3)) | 7.2 (7.0–7.3) | 7.1 (7.0–7.3) | 0.698 |
| Ureic acid [µmol/l] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 401 (316–465) | 387 (301–456 | 0.879 |
| Air pollution: | | | |
| 36 PM ₁₀ median (Q1-Q3) | 40.5 (38.0–44.1) | 41.2 (33.5–45.3) | 1.000 |
| PM _{2.5} median (Q1-Q3) | 16.3 (15.4–18.0) | 15.6 (13.9–18.3) | 0.485 |
| PM ₁₀ median (Q1-Q3) | 22.2 (18.7–24.1) | 21.8 (17.3–24.8) | 0.655 |
| NO ₂ median (Q1-Q3) | 13.6 (12.0–17.5) | 12.8 (11.5–16.8) | 0.650 |
| City agglomeration, n (%) | 27 (56) | 8 (44) | 0.400 |
| Temperature: | | | |
| Median minimal [days/year] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 9.7 (9.6–9.7) | 9.6 (9.5–9.7) | 0.193 |
| Median maximal [days/year] (median (Q1–Q3)) | 13.7 (13.7–13.8) | 13.8 (13.6–13.8) | 0.817 |
| Days with mean temp > 25 C/year (median (Q1–Q3)) | 44 (42–45) | 44 (42–46) | 0.389 |
| Days with mean temp > 30 C/year (median (Q1–Q3)) | 11 (10–11) | 11 (10–11) | 0.430 |
| Tropical nights/year (median (O1–O3)) | 3.3 (3.3–3.7) | 36(34-37) | 0 310 |

Table I. Demographic and clinical characteristics

BMI – body mass index, COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, DM – diabetes mellitus, n – number, PM – particulate matter, NO₂ – dioxide nitrile, Q – quartiles.

30% on repeated angiography. The decision on angiography was made based on clinical symptoms and a referring cardiologist's opinion. The procedures were performed by the same experienced team of interventional cardiologists working in the First Cardiology Department of Poznan Medical University.

The first group representing the comparable culprit lesion changes was composed of 48 (73%) patients, and the second group comprised 18 (27%) patients in whom atherosclerotic plaque progression was noticed. The individually calculated air pollution exposure in the place of habitation for each patient was performed, and median values are presented in Table I. All patients were pharmacologically treated according to current guidelines on the management of coronary artery disease. The diabetic patients were followed up by repeated measurements of glycated haemoglobin if diabetes was diagnosed. All patients were using statins. The lipid profile results are presented in Table I.

In the presented group, the repeated angiographies were performed within a mean 145 (96–296)-day interval due to planned multi-stage PCI (59 [89%] pts) or angina symptoms reoccurrence (7 [11%] pts). The results of initial and control angiographies are presented in Table II.

The possible factors that could play a crucial role for coronary lesion progression were evaluated by logistic

Table II. Angiographic findings on initial and repeated examination

| Parameter | Group1 <i>n</i> = 48 | Group 2 <i>n</i> = 18 | P-value |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Initial examination (lumen narrowing (%)): | | | |
| LMCA (median (Q1–Q3)) | 0 (0) | 0 (0–30) | 0.185 |
| LAD (median (Q1–Q3)) | 75 (0–86) | 40 (0–88) | 0.479 |
| Cx (median (Q1–Q3)) | 45 (0–85) | 0 (0–38) | 0.026 |
| RCA (median (Q1–Q3)) | 75 (0–90) | 0 (0–90) | 0.322 |
| Syntax Score (median (Q1–Q3)) | 9.5 (2–12.5) | 8 (4–13.5) | 0.925 |
| Syntax Score zero | 7 | 0 | |
| PCI on initial examination, n (%): | | | |
| Overall | 41 (85) | 13 (72) | 0.808 |
| LMCA | 6 (13) | 5 (28) | 0.144 |
| LAD | 12 (25) | 3 (17) | 0.481 |
| Cx | 9 (19) | 4 (22) | 0.762 |
| RCA | 16 (33) | 3 (17) | 0.075 |
| Control angiography (lumen narrowing (%)): | | | |
| LMCA (median (Q1–Q3)) | 0 (0) | 0 (0–23) | 0.006* |
| LAD (median (Q1–Q3)) | 40 (0–60) | 38 (38–70) | 0.895 |
| Cx (median (Q1–Q3)) | 45 (0–85) | 0 (0–23) | 0.026* |
| RCA (median (Q1–Q3)) | 0 (0–73) | 63 (0–94) | 0.189 |
| Syntax Score (median (Q1–Q3)) | 6.5 (1.5–9.3) | 7 (3–19.4) | 0.052 |
| PCI on repeated examination, n (%): | | | |
| Overall | 32 (67) | 16 (89) | 0.075 |
| LMCA | 1 (2) | 3 (17) | 0.053 |
| LAD | 19 (40) | 5 (28) | 0.383 |
| Cx | 13 (27) | 5 (28) | 0.963 |
| RCA | 15 (31) | 7 (39) | 0.567 |
| PCI procedures on 2^{nd} not on first examination, n (%): | | | |
| Overall | 0 (0) | 4 (22) | 0.075 |
| LMCA | 5 (10) | 6 (33) | 0.144 |
| LAD | 12 (25) | 3 (17) | 0.481 |
| Cx | 11 (23) | 3 (17) | 0.590 |
| RCA | 6 (13) | 6 (33) | 0.054 |
| Progression > 30%, n (%): | | | < 0.001 |
| LMCA | 0 (0) | 4 (22) | |
| LAD | 0 (0) | 7 (33) | |
| Cx | 0 (0) | 8 (44) | |
| RCA | 0 (0) | 6 (33) | |

Cx - circumflex artery, LAD - left descending artery, LMCA - left main coronary artery, n - number, PCI - percutaneous interventions, RCA - right coronary artery.

regression including uni- and multivariable analysis, as presented in Table III.

The univariable analysis presented the significant predictor of sex differences (p = 0.038) for coronary lesion progression. In the multivariate logistic regression model with backward stepwise elimination method (Table III), tropical nights (p = 0.047) and mean daily temperatures (p = 0.043) were revealed as predictors of coronary lesion progression > 30%.

Receiver operator curves for atherosclerosis progression prediction

Among multivariable analysis results, the mean values of ambient temperature and tropical nights were presented as significant. The receiver operator curve (ROC) analysis was performed separately for mean temperatures (AUC = 0.395, p = 0.193) and tropical nights (AUC = 0.582, p = 0.310). The ROC analysis for combined exposure to both parameters presented significance (AUC = 0.680, p = 0.025) for coronary artery lesion progression > 30%. The results are presented in Figure 1.

The geo-map was created to present the relationship between habitation location, and individual exposures to tropical nights are presented in Figure 2.

The mean values of yearly temperatures were found to be one of the significant factors for coronary lesion progression. The further sub-analysis of the seasonal temperature characteristics was performed, as presented in Table IV.

The analysis of seasonal temperature changes showed significant differences related to minimal winter temperatures between both groups (p = 0.018). Because the minimal winter temperatures were found to be significantly different between groups, a geo-map presenting the individual exposure was created (Figure 3).

Discussion

Our analysis presents the relationship between heart exposure and increased risk for coronary artery lesion progression in multivariable regression analysis. The study was constructed on individual exposure risk for air pollution and heat. This is the first study, to the best of

Table III. Uni- and multivariable regression analysis for coronary lesion progression

| Parameter | ameter Univariable analysis | | Multivariable analysis | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------|------------|---------|
| | OR | 95% Cl | P-value | OR | 95% CI | P-value |
| Demographical: | | | | | | |
| Sex | 4.23 | 1.08–16.54 | 0.038 | - | - | - |
| Age | 1.00 | 0.95–1.06 | 0.975 | - | - | - |
| BMI | 0.40 | 0.20-0.78 | 0.546 | - | - | - |
| Clinical: | | | | | | |
| HA | 0.63 | 0.28-3.22 | 0.929 | - | - | - |
| Hyperlipidaemia | 1.58 | 0.44–5.67 | 0.483 | - | - | - |
| DM | 0.44 | 0.51-4.74 | 0.445 | - | - | - |
| Thyroid | 1.25 | 0.21-7.51 | 0.807 | - | - | - |
| COPD | 2.53 | 0.12-42.78 | 0.520 | - | - | - |
| Nicotinism | 0.46 | 0.05-4.23 | 0.492 | - | - | - |
| Kidney | 0.59 | 0.06–5.66 | 0.646 | - | - | - |
| PAD | 1.56 | 0.33–7.35 | 0.574 | - | - | - |
| AF | 0.46 | 0.05-4.23 | 0.492 | - | - | - |
| Stroke | 1.10 | 0.89–1.12 | 0.991 | - | - | - |
| City agglomeration | 0.62 | 0.21–1.85 | 0.394 | - | - | - |
| Air pollution: | | | | | | |
| 36 PM ₁₀ | 0.97 | 0.90–1.05 | 0.493 | - | - | - |
| PM _{2.5} | 0.95 | 0.80–1.14 | 0.582 | - | - | - |
| PM ₁₀ | 0.98 | 0.86–1.11 | 0.717 | - | - | - |
| NO | 0.99 | 0.86–1.14 | 0.855 | - | - | - |
| Ambient: | | | | | | |
| T mean/year | 0.29 | 0.02-3.70 | 0.378 | 0.01 | 0.00–10.5 | 0.043 |
| Days > 25°C | 1.02 | 0.83–1.24 | 0.834 | - | - | - |
| Tropical nights | 1.65 | 0.43–6.39 | 0.468 | 10.81 | 1.02–113.4 | 0.047 |
| T min/year | 1.52 | 0.02-7.32 | 0.518 | _ | _ | _ |
| T max/year | 0.65 | 0.08-5.19 | 0.686 | - | _ | _ |

AF – atrial fibrillation, BMI – body mass index, CI – confidence interval, COPD – chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, DM – diabetes mellitus, HA – arterial hypertension, OR – odd ratio, PAD – peripheral artery disease, T – temperature.



Figure 1. Receiver operator curve analysis for combined tropical night and mean ambient temperature exposure to > 30% epicardial atherosclerotic lesion progression

our knowledge, presenting the relationship between individual exposure to environmental factors and coronary lesion progression.

According to our results, the tropical nights, defined as 24-hour temperature above 20°C, and temperature exposure including winter minimal temperatures were found to be predictive for culprit lesion progression.

In our climate zone, more deaths are reported in the "cold season" than in the "warm season" [21]. The transition seasons have been disappearing for several years due to climate change [22], which has had a significant impact on physiological mechanism derangements [23, 24] followed by increased morbidity [25, 26]. In the epidemiological study by Alahmad *et al.* [27] analysis from 27 countries revealed an association between cardiovascular disease-related increased mortality and exposure to extreme either hot or cold ambient temperatures.

Kim *et al.* [28] in their analysis revealed a strong association between hot nights and increased mortality, presenting acute corresponding associations. Risk mortality estimates were higher for extremities than for durations of up to 7 days of heat exposure, as presented by Roye *et al.* [29]. An association between morbidity and as little as 2–3 days ambient heat was suggested by Chen *et al.*



Figure 2. The individually calculated exposure for high temperatures presented as tropical nights in the presented study related to the place of living

| Season | Temperature characteristics Yearly values of ambient temperature | Group 1 <i>n</i> = 48 | Group 2 <i>n</i> = 18 | P-value |
|--------|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Spring | Mean temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 8.900 (8.850–8.940) | 8.860 (8.747–8.933) | 0.224 |
| | Minimal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 4.410 (4.328–4.453) | 4.360 (4.225–4.445) | 0.250 |
| | Maximal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 13.830 (13.715–13.930) | 13 815 (13 622–13 915) | 0.460 |
| Summer | Mean temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 18.725 (18.630–18.740) | 18.740 (18.538–18.750) | 0.265 |
| | Minimal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 6.350 (6.232–6.380) | 6.305 (6.183–6.370) | 0.173 |
| | Maximal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 23.920 (23.790–23.990) | 23.990 (23.742–24.038) | 0.253 |
| Autumn | Mean temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 10.170 (10.117–10.190) | 10.165 (9.978–10.170) | 0.151 |
| | Minimal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 6.350 (6.232–6.380) | 6.305 (6.183–6.370) | 0.173 |
| | Maximal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 14.050 (14.01–14.170) | 14.11 (13.950–14.187) | 0.908 |
| Winter | Mean temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 0.954 (0.790–0.950) | 0.720 (0.520–0.930) | 0.151 |
| | Minimal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | (–)1.95 ((–)2.085–(–)1.805) | –2.105 (–2.178–(–1.917)) | 0.018* |
| | Maximal temperatures (median (Q1–Q3)) | 3.170 (3.155–3.280) | 3.130 (2.953–3.280) | 0.439 |

| Table IV. The seasonal mean values of amb | bient temperature |
|---|-------------------|
|---|-------------------|

N – number, Q – quartiles. *Statistically significant.



Figure 3. The individually calculated exposure for low temperatures related to the place of living in the analysed groups

[30]. In a study by Saucy *et al.* [31], myocardial infarctions and hypertension-related deaths were found to be particularly strongly related to extreme temperatures of mean value 24°C. In our analysis, the predictive value for coronary artery lesion progression of high daily mean temperatures estimated at above 20°C was noticed.

The low universal apparent temperature in the study by Lin *et al.* [32] was associated with increased hospital admissions due to ischaemic heart disease. Temperature changes between neighbouring days during winter season were claimed, by Ma *et al.* [33], to induce increased cardiovascular morbidity risk, especially in the elderly population. In the analysis by Singh *et al.* [34] the eradication of inhouse cold estimated as indoor temperature below 18°C allowed for achievable cardiovascular health gains. Bai *et al.* [35] revealed that ambient cold and heat

episodes translated into 2.49% and 1.20% increased risk of cardiovascular diseases and related hospitalisations, respectively.

Our analysis was performed within a group of patients who underwent repeated angiography within a relatively short period of time, suggesting newly developed coronary lesions despite optimal pharmacotherapy. Importantly, neither subgroup differed in clinical and laboratory parameters, and traditional risk factors, including diabetes and dyslipidaemia, did not influence the multivariable analysis result. We suspect that the diagnosed culprit lesions are characterised by instability and may be prone to rupture. The atherosclerotic plague progression involves the sequels of mechanisms including matrix synthesis, angiogenesis, arterial remodeling, and fibrous cap rupture or erosion [36]. The large lipid-rich necrotic core covered by a thin fibrous cap of the ruptured lesion is infiltrated by macrophages and inflammatory cells [37]. The erosion-prone plaques are represented by a more heterogeneous group and are described by an acute thrombus formation [38]. Katayama et al. [39] presented the correlation between winter season and increased risk of atherosclerotic plaque rupture. Cold induced endothelial injury followed by plaque burst on animal experiments was shown by Fang et al. [40]. Guinea et al. [41], in an experimental model, related culprit lesion instability with body temperature. The mentioned studies are with co-ordinance to presented personalized analysis that indicate the relation between minimal ambient temperatures and epicardial disease progression. Therefore, we believe that there is a need for public awareness of such phenomena. Ellena et al. [42] in their study highlighted an overall increase in mortality risk trends under cold and heat conditions and concluded that targeted public health responses to cold and heat are necessary to adapt to extreme temperatures due to climate change.

Study limitations

The study was performed on a selected group of patients presenting with chronic coronary syndrome, who were evaluated by repeated angiograms due to angina equivalent syndrome. In the presented group, the individual exposure was calculated in the place of habitation. The results were obtained based on a personalised approach.

Conclusions

Coronary artery lesion progression can be related to either high values of daily temperatures or to low ambient temperature. The dichotomous characteristics of temperature exposure to atherosclerosis progression suggest a detrimental role of environmental extremities on human health.

Funding

No external funding.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Liu J, Varghese BM, Hansen A, et al. Heat exposure and cardiovascular health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Planet Health 2022; 6: e484-95.
- 2. Zhang S, Breitner S, Rai M, et al. Assessment of short-term heat effects on cardiovascular mortality and vulnerability factors using small area data in Europe. Environ Int 2023; 179: 108154.
- Psistaki K, Dokas IM, Paschalidou AK. Analysis of the heat- and cold-related cardiovascular mortality in an urban mediterranean environment through various thermal indices. Environ Res 2023; 216: 114831.
- 4. de Souza Fernandes Duarte E, Lucio PS, Costa MJ, et al. Pollutant-meteorological factors and cardio-respiratory mortality in Portugal: seasonal variability and associations. Environ Res 2023; 2023: 117491.
- 5. Desai Y, Khraishah H, Alahmad B. Heat and the heart. Yale J Biol Med 2023; 96: 197-203.
- 6. Roths M, Freestone AD, Rudolph TE, et al. Environment-induced heat stress causes structural and biochemical changes in the heart. J Therm Biol 2023; 113: 103492.
- 7. Fujimoto R, Suzuki E, Kashima S, et al. Heat exposure following the rainy season is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular emergency among the elderly in Japan. J Am Heart Assoc 2023; 12: e027046.
- 8. Fleg JL, Strait J. Age-associated changes in cardiovascular structure and function: a fertile milieu for future disease. Heart Fail Rev 2012; 17: 545-54.
- 9. Du J, Cui L, Ma Y, et al. Extreme cold weather and circulatory diseases of older adults: a time-stratified case-crossover study in Jinan, China. Environ Res 2022; 214: 114073.
- Nielsen J, Mazick A, Glismann S, Mølbak K. Excess mortality related to seasonal influenza and extreme temperatures in Denmark, 1994-2010. BMC Infect Dis 2011; 11: 350.
- 11. Burkart KG, Brauer M, Aravkin AY, et al. Estimating the cause-specific relative risks of non-optimal temperature on daily mortality: a two-part modelling approach applied to the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 2021; 398: 685-97.
- 12. http://www.euro-cordex.net
- 13. Jacob D, Petersen J, Eggert B, et al. EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate change projections for European impact research. Reg Environ Change 2014; 14: 563-78.
- 14. Kotlarski S, Keuler K, Christensen OB, et al. Regional climate modeling on European scales: a joint standard evaluation of the EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble. Geosci Model Dev 2014; 7: 1297-333.
- 15. van Vuuren DP, Edmonds J, Kainuma M, et al. The representative concentration pathways: an overview. Clim Change 2011; 5: 109-17.
- IPCC, 2013: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge Uni-

versity Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1535 pp.

- https://www.ecmwf.int/en/forecasts/datasets/reanalysis-datasets/era5
- 18. https://www.ecad.eu/download/ensembles/download.php
- 19. http://www.imgw.pl
- 20. https://klimada2.ios.gov.pl/klimat-scenariusze-portal/
- Duan Y, Liao Y, Li H, et al. Effect of changes in season and temperature on cardiovascular mortality associated with nitrogen dioxide air pollution in Shenzhen, China. Sci Total Environ 2019; 697: 134051.
- 22. Shang L, Liao J, Xie S, et al. Dynamic changes in the thermal growing season and their association with atmospheric circulation in China. Int J Biometeorol 2022; 66: 545-58.
- 23. Tatsumi T, Sampei M, Saito K, et al. Age-dependent and seasonal changes in menstrual cycle length and body temperature based on big data. Obstet Gynecol 2020; 136: 666-74.
- 24. Levine RJ. Seasonal variation of semen quality and fertility. Scand J Work Environ Health 1999; 25: 34-7.
- 25. Goshua A, Sampath V, Efobi JA, Nadeau K. The role of climate change in asthma. Adv Exp Med Biol 2023; 1426: 25-41.
- 26. Oh EY, Ansell C, Nawaz H, et al. Global breast cancer seasonality. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2010; 123: 233-43.
- Alahmad B, Khraishah H, Royé D, et al. Associations between extreme temperatures and cardiovascular cause-specific mortality: results from 27 countries. Circulation 2023; 147: 35-46.
- Kim SE, Hashizume M, Armstrong B, et al. Mortality risk of hot nights: a nationwide population-based retrospective study in Japan. Environ Health Perspect 2023; 131: 57005.
- 29. Royé D, Sera F, Tobías A, et al. Effects of hot nights on mortality in Southern Europe. Epidemiology 2021; 32: 487-98.
- Chen R, Yin P, Wang L, et al. Association between ambient temperature and mortality risk and burden: time series study in 272 main Chinese cities. BMJ 2018; 363: k4306.
- Saucy A, Ragettli MS, Vienneau D, et al. The role of extreme temperature in cause-specific acute cardiovascular mortality in Switzerland: a case-crossover study. Sci Total Environ 2021; 790: 147958.
- Lin S, Soim A, Gleason KA, Hwang SA. Association between low temperature during winter season and hospitalizations for ischemic heart diseases in New York State. J Environ Health 2016; 78: 66-74.
- 33. Ma P, Zhang Y, Wang X, et al Effect of diurnal temperature change on cardiovascular risks differed under opposite temperature trends. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 2021; 28: 39882-91.
- 34. Singh A, Mizdrak A, Daniel L, et al. Estimating cardiovascular health gains from eradicating indoor cold in Australia. Environ Health 2022; 21: 54.
- Bai L, Li Q, Wang J, et al. Increased coronary heart disease and stroke hospitalisations from ambient temperatures in Ontario. Heart 2018; 104: 673-9.
- 36. Mushenkova NV, Summerhill VI, Zhang D, et al. Current advances in the diagnostic imaging of atherosclerosis: insights into the pathophysiology of vulnerable plaque. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21: 2992.
- 37. Virmani R, Burke AP, Farb A, Kolodgie FD. Pathology of the vulnerable plaque. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006; 47 (8 Suppl): C13-8.
- Khan F, Gonçalves I, Shore AC, et al. Plaque characteristics and biomarkers predicting regression and progression of carotid atherosclerosis. Cell Rep Med 2022; 3: 100676.

- 39. Katayama Y, Tanaka A, Taruya A, et al. Increased plaque rupture forms peak incidence of acute myocardial infarction in winter. Int J Cardiol 2020; 320: 18-22.
- 40. Fang SM, Zhang QH, Jiang ZX. Developing a novel rabbit model of atherosclerotic plaque rupture and thrombosis by cold-induced endothelial injury. J Biomed Sci 2009; 16: 39.
- 41. Guinea GV, Atienza JM, Fantidis P, et al. Increases of corporal temperature as a risk factor of atherosclerotic plaque instability. Ann Biomed Eng 2008; 36: 66-76.
- 42. Ellena M, Ballester J, Costa G, Achebak H. Evolution of temperature-attributable mortality trends looking at social inequalities: an observational case study of urban maladaptation to cold and heat. Environ Res 2022; 214: 114082.