### MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROME NOT OTHERWISE CLASSIFIED. DO AUTOMATIC METHODS CORRELATE WITH THE MICROSCOPIC METHOD OF BLOOD SMEAR ASSESSMENT?

## ZESPÓŁ MIELODYSPLASTYCZNY NIESKLASYFIKOWANY INACZEJ. CZY METODY AUTOMATYCZNE KORELUJĄ Z METODĄ MIKROSKOPOWĄ OCENY ROZMAZU KRWI?

# Milena Małecka-Giełdowska<sup>1(A,B,C,D,E,F)</sup>, Katarzyna Poreda<sup>2(D,E,F)</sup>, Agata Skwarek<sup>2(C,D,E,F)</sup>, Michalina Lulek<sup>2(C,D,E,F)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland <sup>2</sup>Students Scientific Group of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland

Authors' contribution Wkład autorów: A. Study design/planning zaplanowanie badań

B. Data collection/entry zebranie danych
C. Data analysis/statistics dane – analiza i statystyki
D. Data interpretation

interpretacja danych E. Preparation of manuscript przygotowanie artykułu F. Literature analysis/search

G. Funds collection

zebranie funduszy

Tables: 1

Figures: 1

References: 10

Submitted: 2023 Aug 9

Accepted: 2023 Dec 8

#### Summary

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are a heterogeneous group of clonal malignancies of the hematopoietic system, characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis with peripheral cytopenias. The aim of the study was to detect differences between automatic leukocyte separation and microscopic evaluation of a blood smear in a patient with MDS. A 68-year-old patient with a history of MDS pancytopenia and vascular bleeding diathesis was admitted to the Department of Internal Diseases and Endocrinology of the University Clinical Centre of the Medical University of Warsaw. The patient was diagnosed with metabolic alkalosis, renal failure and hyperparathyroidism. Morphological examinations showed persistent pancytopenia. The microscopic evaluation of the blood smear showed features of dysplasia, e.g. asynchrony of nucleus/cytoplasm maturation, hypogranulation of the granulocyte cytoplasm, unequal distribution of granules in the cytoplasm, and hyposegmentation in neutrophils. Complete blood count and blood smear were presented as percentages obtained from automatic leukocyte separation and microscopic smear evaluation of three consecutive patient examinations, respectively. The largest discrepancies were in the proportion of eosinophils (0.6%/1.3%/0% vs. 29.5%/26.0%/9.0%), neutrophils (49.7%/41.7%/32.4% vs 26.0%/ 13.6%/22.0%) and atypical lymphocytes (4.4%/2.9% vs 2.4%/5.0%). Microscopic analysis also showed the presence of eosinophils at various stages of maturation (eosinophilic myelocytes). Automatic blood smear analysis compared to manual analysis can show significantly different results. To diagnose diseases of the hematopoietic system, it is recommended to verify the results of the tests using the microscopic method. Bone marrow cytogenetic and molecular tests can also be performed as an auxiliary.

Keywords: pancytopenia, myelodysplastic syndrome, blood smear, complete blood count, lymphocytes

#### Streszczenie

Zespoły mielodysplastyczne (MDS) są heterogenną grupą klonalnych chorób nowotworowych układu krwiotwórczego, charakteryzujących się nieefektywną hematopoezą z obwodowymi cytopeniami. Celem pracy było wykrycie różnic pomiędzy automatycznym rozdziałem leukocytów a oceną mikroskopową rozmazu krwi u pacjenta z MDS. 68-letni pacjent z historią pancytopenii w przebiegu MDS oraz skazy krwotocznej naczyniowej, został przyjęty do Kliniki Chorób Wewnętrznych i Endokrynologii Centralnego Szpitala Klinicznego Uniwersyteckiego Centrum Klinicznego Warszawskiego Uniwersytetu Medycznego. U chorego stwierdzono zasadowice metaboliczna. niewydolność nerek i nadczynność przytarczyc. Badania morfologiczne wykazywały utrzymujaca się pancytopenie. W ocenie mikroskopowej rozmazu krwi wykazano cechy dysplazji, m.in. asynchronię dojrzewania jądro/ cytoplazma, hipo- i hipergranulację cytoplazmy granulocytów, nierówne rozmieszczenie ziarnistości w cytoplazmie oraz hiposegmentacje w neutrofilach. Dane przedstawiono jako wartości procentowe uzyskane odpowiednio z automatycznego rozdziału leukocytów i oceny rozmazu mikroskopowego trzech kolejnych badań pacjenta. Największe rozbieżności dotyczyły odsetka eozynofili (0,6%/ 1,3%/ 0% vs 29,5%/ 26,0%/ 9,0%), neutrofili (49,7%/ 41,7%/ 32,4% vs 26,0%/ 13,6%/ 22,0%) i atypowych limfocytów (4,4%/ 2,9% vs 2,4%/ 5,0%). Analiza mikroskopowa wykazała również obecność eozynofili na różnych stadiach doirzewania (mielocyt kwasochłonnych). Automatyczna analiza rozmazu krwi w porównaniu do analizy manualnej może wykazywać znacznie rozbieżne wyniki. Do rozpoznania schorzeń układu krwiotwórczego zalecana jest weryfikacja wyników badań metodą mikroskopową. Pomocniczo można wykonać również badanie cytogenetyczne szpiku oraz badania molekularne.

Published Online: 2024 Jan 29 Słowa kluczowe: pancytopenia, zespół mielodysplastyczny, rozmaz mikroskopowy, morfologia krwi, limfocyty

Małecka-Giełdowska M, Poreda K, Skwarek A, Lulek M. Myelodysplastic syndrome not otherwise classified. Do automatic methods correlate with the microscopic method of blood smear assessment?. Health Prob Civil. 2024; 18(2): 174-179. https://doi.org/10.5114/hpc.2023.133623

Address for correspondence / Adres korespondencyjny: Milena Małecka-Giełdowska, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, 61 Zwirki i Wigury Street, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland, e-mail: milena.malecka@wum.edu.pl, phone: +48 (22) 599 21 05

ORCID: Milena Małecka-Giełdowska https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5291-749X

Copyright: © John Paul II University in Biała Podlaska, Milena Małecka-Giełdowska, Katarzyna Poreda, Agata Skwarek, Michalina Lulek. This is an Open Access journal, all articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercialShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

#### Introduction

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are a heterogeneous group of clonal malignancies of the hematopoietic system, characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis with peripheral cytopenias. During the diagnosis, peripheral blood morphology, and cytological and histopathological examination of the bone marrow are necessary to assess the degree of quality disorders of cells of particular cell lines called dysplasia. Cytochemistry allows the detection of iron deposits around the nuclei of erythroblasts (sideroblasts) [1]. Genetic mutations have great importance in the pathogenesis of MDS, affecting various stages of the cell cycle and determining the progression of the disease. They also allow the classification of MDS subtypes Among others, MDS with ring sideroblasts are distinguished, the diagnosis of which requires the detection of the SF3B1 mutation, or MDS with isolated deletion of 5q, where cytogenetics are showing del(5q) alone or with one additional abnormality except monosomy 7 or del(7q) [2]. Due to the non-specific clinical symptoms, the diagnosis of MDS poses many difficulties, and dysplastic cells may be misdiagnosed during automatic leukocyte separation.

The aim of the study was the detection of differences between automatic leukocyte separation and microscopic evaluation of a blood smear in a patient with MDS.

### **Case description**

A 68-year-old patient was admitted to the Department of Internal Diseases and Endocrinology of the University Clinical Centre of the Medical University of Warsaw. The patient had a history of pancytopenia in the course of myelodysplastic syndrome and vascular bleeding diathesis. During his stay in the department biochemical laboratory tests showed metabolic alkalosis, chronic kidney disease in the G2 state, acute phase state (elevated C-reactive protein 186 mg/l, procalcitonin 22.0  $\mu$ g/ml and IL-6 was 360 pg/ml) and hyperparathyroidism (elevated parathyroid hormone 164 pg/ml, alkaline phosphatase 166 IU/L, reduced calcium 2.70 mmol/l and magnesium 0.54 mmol/l ions and phosphates 0.64 mmol/l). The chronic kidney disease and eGFR - CKD-EPI was 54 ml/min/1.73m^2.

The patient was diagnosed with myelodysplastic syndrome with isolated 5q deletion. The results of flow cytometry of bone marrow cells were the following: 44% monocytes/macrophages CD45(+) CD14(+) SSC(á); 34% granulocytes CD45(dim) SSC(á); 17% lymphocytes – heterogenous population of T lymphocytes CD5(+) CD19(-) including 71% CD4(+) and 31% CD8(+); 4% polyclonal B lymphocytes: CD19(+) CD5(-). It excluded monoclonal expansion of NHL B-cell lymphoma.

The complete differential WBC counts (5-DIFF) performed on automated hematology analyzer Sysmex XN-3000 with a DI-60 module (Kobe, Japan) revealed persistent pancytopenia: WBC 1.81/ 1.58/ 2.4 x10<sup>6</sup>/L, NEUT 0.90/ 0.66/ 0.78 x10<sup>6</sup>/L, HGB 7.4/ 7.2/ 5.7 g/dL, PLT 5/ 6/ 5 x10<sup>6</sup>/L. The results of complete blood count analysis are shown in Table 1.

| Number | Complete blood<br>count       | Eosinophils count |                      | Neutrophils count |                      | Atypical lymphocytes<br>count |                      |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
|        |                               | Automatic         | Microscopic<br>smear | Automatic         | Microscopic<br>smear | Automatic                     | Microscopic<br>smear |
| I      | WBC 3.00 10^3/µL              | 0.6%              | 29.5%                | 49.7%             | 26.0%                | -                             | -                    |
| II     | LYMPH <b># L 0.55 10^3/µL</b> | 1.3%              | 26.0%                | 41.7%             | 13.6%                | 4.4%                          | 2.4%                 |
|        | NEUT # 1.71 10^ 3/µL          |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MONO # 0.43 10^3/µL           |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | EOSYNO # 0.03 10^3/µL         |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | BASO # 0.07 10^3/µL           |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | IG # <b>H 0.21 10^3/µL</b>    |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | wLYMPH % 18.3 <b>%</b>        |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | NEUT % 57.1 <b>%</b>          |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MONO % <b>14.3%</b>           |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | EOSYNO % 1.0 <b>%</b>         |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | BASO % <b>2.3%</b>            |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | IG % <b>7.0%</b>              |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | NEUT-RI 40.3 FI               |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | NEUT-GI 150,1 SI              |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
| III    | RBC 2.73 10^6/µL              | 0%                | 9.0%                 | 32.4%             | 22.0%                | 2.9%                          | 5.0%                 |
|        | HGB 8.2 g/dL                  |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | HCT 23%                       |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MCH 30.0 pg                   |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MCHC 35.2 g/dL                |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MCV 85.3 fL                   |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | RDW-CV <b>16.0%</b>           |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MicroR <b>5.0%</b>            |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | MacroR <b>2.7%</b>            |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | PLT 6 10^3/μL                 |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | IPF# <b>0.4 10^3/µL</b>       |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | IPF% <b>6.0%</b>              |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | NRBC# 0.18 10^3/µL            |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |
|        | NRBC% 6.0%                    |                   |                      |                   |                      |                               |                      |

## Table 1. Summary of cell count results and complete blood counts

Despite the blood transfusions, levels of hemoglobin and platelets remained low as a result of intravascular hemolysis and profuse gastrointestinal bleeding. The blood smear showed features of dysplasia, such as nucleus/cytoplasm maturation asynchrony, irregular chromatin condensation in granulocytes, hypo- and hypersegmentation of granulocyte nuclei, hypogranulation and hypergranulation of granulocyte cytoplasm, and

uneven distribution of granules in the cytoplasm of eosinophils. Hypolobulated neutrophils with azurophilic granulation and pseudo-Pelger-Huet anomaly were observed, as well as hypogranulated band neutophils with vacuoles. Irregular granulation was present in metamyelocytes, promyelocytes, eosinophils and basophils. Blasts and neutrophils had visible irregular chromatin condensation. Acidophilic granules were observed in myelocytes. Lymphocytes with large granules were also present. Monocytes had numerous vacuoles, whereas platelets were large and hypergranular (Figure 1).





The largest variances between the automatic separation of leukocytes and the microscopic smear concerned the percentage of eosinophils, neutrophils, and atypical lymphocytes. In addition, the microscopic smear revealed the presence of eosinophils at various stages of maturity, starting from the myelocyte stage.

#### **Case analysis**

Nowadays, the first line of hematological diagnostics is morphology with automatic leukocyte smear, which allows for the initial assessment of the white blood cell lineage. Analyzers using fluorescence flow cytometry are characterized by high accuracy and the ability to differentiate cells. However, in demanding cases – such as the one presented in this report – they will not replace manual microscopic smear and assessment by a laboratory diagnostician or other specialist. Bone marrow cytogenetic and molecular tests can also be performed as an auxiliary. Clinically, by using both methods when necessary, hematological patient would be brought closer to a clear diagnosis, which will enable appropriate treatment in subsequent steps. This case also shows how important and essential trained laboratory workers are in health care – they supervise the results given by analyzers and verify their correctness.

Shamila et al. [3] compared the results of automatic analysis using the Siemens ADVIA 120i analyzer using fluorescence flow cytometry and the manual method of samples from healthy patients. No significant differences between the methods were found, which confirms that the automatic smear may be sufficient in healthy patients, but not necessarily for pathologies [3].

Merino et al. [4] confronted results obtained with Mindray MC-80, a new automated analyzer used for digital imaging of white blood cells, and results received by light microscopy. Researchers used a total of 445 samples, which included normal samples (194) as well as ones from patients suffering from hematological disorders (251). They proved that Mindray MC-80 is efficient in distinguishing WBC both in samples with normal and pathological blood cells. The only differences applied to the range of basophils and IG [4].

The diagnostic criteria for myelodysplastic syndromes include a wide range of tests and methods, but changes in blood morphology and blood smear are still one of the first indicators of the disease. This group of diseases is characterized by dysplasia of blood lineages, which means that automatic methods will not always be able to adequately differentiate the cells presenting non-specific dysplastic changes [5].

Microscopic evaluation of a blood smear can currently be performed using automatic methods based on taking microscopic photos of counted cells and then qualifying them to specific cell groups [6]. Evaluation of cells under a light microscope allows for a more accurate characterization of the morphology of the cells being assessed, which remains the gold standard for leukocyte qualification [7].

The automatic analyzers used also have problems with assessing discrete morphological changes, e.g. cytoplasmic hypogranulation occurring in patients with myelodysplastic syndrome and signs of dysplasia in the granulocytic system, as evidenced by research conducted by Zini et al. [8]. Peripheral pancytopenia occurring due to quantitative disorders may also pose a problem in counting and assessing cells in the preparation, which is most often compensated by the assessment of two microscopic preparations [9].

Implementation of artificial intelligence-based instruments in hematology laboratories requires evidence of the effectiveness of pathological cell classification.

Studies by Hollenstein et al. [10] have demonstrated that assessment using the DI-60 automated analyzer shows agreement with microscopic assessment for patient samples without pathology. In the case of cells present in lower numbers in the blood, such as basophils, eosinophils and immature, atypical and blast cells, it requires verification employing manual methods using a light microscope. Features of the digital blood count analyzer (e.g. limited field of view) may also result in lower sensitivity for cells that are more likely to accumulate at the edge of the smear, such as monocytes or plasma cells [10].

The analysis of a microscopic blood smear poses many difficulties, and available hematological analyzers and the digital assessment used can be an element of support in the screening diagnosis of patients with suspected hematological growths.

## Conclusions

Automatic blood smear analysis compared to manual analysis can show significantly different results. To diagnose diseases of the hematopoietic system, it is recommended to verify the results of the tests using the microscopic method. Bone marrow cytogenetic and molecular tests can also be performed as an auxiliary.

## **Disclosures and acknowledgements**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. Artificial intelligence (AI) was not used in the creation of the manuscript.

## **References:**

- Cieluch B, Urbanowicz B, Nahaczewska W, Bil-Lula I. [Myelodysplastic syndromes current diagnostics]. Diagn Lab. 2019; 55(1): 35-42 (in Polish). https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0013.7329
- 2. Chiereghin C, Travaglino E, Zampini M, Saba E, Saitta C, Riva E, et al. The genetics of myelodysplastic syndromes: clinical relevance. Genes. 2021; 12(8): 1144. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12081144
- 3. Shamila FS, Packirisamy M, Ayyakkannu P. Comparison of manual versus automated data collection method for haematological parameters. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res. 2019; 15(3): 11372-11376. https://doi. org/10.26717/BJSTR.2019.15.002702
- 4. Merino A, Laguna J, Rodríguez-García M, Julian J, Casanova A, Molina A. Performance of the new MC-80 automated digital cell morphology analyser in detection of normal and abnormal blood cells: comparison with the CellaVision DM9600. Int J Lab Hematol. Forthcoming 2023. Epub 2023 Sep 25. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.14178
- Invernizzi R, Quaglia F, Porta MG. Importance of classical morphology in the diagnosis of myelodysplastic syndrome. Mediterr J Hematol Infect Dis. 2015; 7(1): e2015035. https://doi.org/10.4084/ MJHID.2015.035
- 6. Tefferi A, Hanson CA, Inwards DJ. How to interpret and pursue an abnormal complete blood cell count in adults. Mayo Clin Proc. 2005; 80(7): 923-36. https://doi.org/10.4065/80.7.923
- 7. Bain BJ. Diagnosis from the blood smear. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353(5): 498-507. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMra043442
- 8. Zini G, Mancini F, Rossi E, Landucci S, d'Onofrio G. Artificial intelligence and the blood film: performance of the MC-80 digital morphology analyzer in samples with neoplastic and reactive cell types. Int J Lab Hematol. 2023; 45(6): 881-889. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.14160
- 9. Nam M, Yoon S, Hur M, Lee GH, Kim H, Park M, et al. Digital morphology analyzer Sysmex DI-60 vs. manual counting for white blood cell differentials in leukopenic samples: a comparative assessment of risk and turnaround time. Ann Lab Med. 2022; 42(4): 398-405. https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2022.42.4.398
- Hollenstein M, Tueni A, Wiedermann J, Eisenbock B, Thalhammer R, Haslacher H. Evaluation of the Sysmex DI-60 digital morphology analyzer on Wright-stained samples with a focus on prevalence-dependent quality indicators. Int J Lab Hematol. 2023; 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijlh.14179